2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 6 CHRISTINA E. ZEDDIES, Case No. 2:20-cv-00477-GMN-NJK 7 Plaintiff(s), **Order** 8 [Docket No. 52] v. 9 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, et 10 al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's "Motion to Strike," which appears to be a motion requiring Defendants to settle the case on the terms Plaintiff desires. Docket No. 52.1 The Court 15 18

has already denied similar requests. See, e.g., Docket No. 44 at 2. Plaintiff is again instructed that there "there is no settlement, settlement discussion, or settlement conference in this case at this time." Id.; see also Docket No. 25 ("This Court has made clear that it will not participate in an additional Early Neutral Evaluation unless both parties believe one would be productive" (emphasis in original)). Hence, the Court will not grant a motion for further settlement discussions and, relatedly, Plaintiff cannot force Defendants to settle the case. Accordingly, the latest motion seeking this relief is **DENIED**.

Plaintiff is cautioned that she is not permitted to continue filing repetitive requests for the same or substantially similar relief. Continuing to do so may result in sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

Dated: September 10, 2021

Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge

¹ As Plaintiff is proceeding without an attorney, the Court construes her filings liberally. *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).